arXiv:0812.4131v2 [astro-ph] 3 Sep 2009

A k-essence Model Of Inflation, Dark Matter and Dark Energy

Nilok Bose* and A. S. Majumdar†
S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Calcutta 700098, India

(Dated: September 3, 2009)

We investigate the possibility for k-essence dynamics to reproduce the primary features of inflation in the early universe, generate dark matter subsequently, and finally account for the presently observed acceleration. We first show that for a purely kinetic k-essence model the late time energy density of the universe when expressed simply as a sum of a cosmological constant and a dark matter term leads to a static universe. We then study another k-essence model in which the Lagrangian contains a potential for the scalar field as well as a non-canonical kinetic term. We show that such a model generates the basic features of inflation in the early universe, and also gives rise to dark matter and dark energy at appropriate subsequent stages. Observational constraints on the parameters of this model are obtained.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq, 95.36.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the course of the past decade, evidence for the most striking result in modern cosmology has been steadily growing, namely the current acceleration of the universe. The nature of the physical mechanism driving this acceleration is yet unclear, though there exists an increasingly wide variety of approaches that could theoretically account for the present acceleration. The proposal of a cosmological constant to generate the late time acceleration of the universe is consistent with several important observations such as the red-shift of distant supernovae, the power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), and the distribution of large scale structure. However, there is no compelling theoretical explanation for its actual value that could account for the cosmic coincidence problem as to why the accelerating phase should have begun in a narrow window of time in the present universe. Nonetheless, observations have categorized the energy density of the present universe to consist of approximately 23% dark matter, which clusters and drives the formation of large-scale structure in the universe, and 73% dark energy, which drives the late-time acceleration of the universe (See [1], [2], [3] and references therein).

Since the nature of both dark matter and dark energy are unknown, it is plausible that these two mysterious components of the universe are the manifestations of a single entity. Several examples of attempts to unify dark matter and dark energy can be found in the literature (for instance [4], [5], [6]). Further, it is very strongly believed that there was an early inflationary period of the universe, and the nearly scale independent density perturbations produced during inflation have left a faithful imprint on features of the CMB power spectrum. The idea that a single or similar mechanism could be responsible for accelerating evolutions of the universe both at early and late times has received the attention of physicists with models constructed to explain inflation and dark energy using a single scalar field (for eg. quintessential inflation [7]). Apart from the above category of models, schemes that try to unify dark matter and inflation can be found in the literature (for instance [8]). Also there have been attempts to unify inflation, dark matter and dark energy (for instance [9]). In this paper our motivation is to explore a possibility for achieving a triple unification, *viz.* inflation, dark matter and dark energy within the context of the same model. With this aim we investigate the dynamics of a k-essence scalar field model.

The idea of k-essence motivated from the Born-Infeld action of string theory [10], was first introduced as a possible model for inflation [11], [12]. Later, it was noted that k-essence could also yield interesting models for the dark energy [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18]. A parallel mechanism for producing the late time acceleration of the universe through the dynamics of scalar fields, *viz.* quintessence [19], has also gained a lot of popularity in the literature. In most of the quintessence models the late time dynamics is dominated by the potential for the scalar field. A crucial difference between quintessence and k-essence is that the latter class of models contain non-canonical kinetic terms in the Lagrangian. In this sense quintessence may also be viewed as a special case of k-essence. Another important subset of k-essence is purely kinetic k-essence in which the Lagrangian contains only a kinetic factor, i.e., a function of the derivatives of the scalar field, and does not depend explicitly on the field itself. Such models were in fact, the first ones investigated in the context of inflation [11]. In this context, they successfully yield exponential inflation, but suffer from the "graceful exit"problem.

An interesting attempt was made to unify dark matter and dark energy using kinetic k-essence in [5]. Though this model had its share of problems as pointed out by the author, extensions of the formalism to extract out dark matter and dark energy components within a unified

---

*Electronic address: nilok@bose.res.in
†Electronic address: archan@bose.res.in

framework have been used also in subsequent works [20]. It is worth noting that a purely kinetic k-essence leads to a static universe when the late time energy density of the universe is expressed simply as a sum of a cosmological constant and a dark matter term. In the present paper we first provide an argument in support of this fact. This sets the stage for study of our model which contains both a potential and a non-canonical kinetic term for the scalar field, but where it is possible to use a part of the formalism of [5], as we show subsequently. We then develop our k-essence model that causes inflation in the early universe and behaves as purely kinetic k-essence in the late universe and reproduces a cosmological constant and a dark matter term in the energy density. In order to discuss the viability of our model, we further provide estimates of the the values of the model parameters that could be obtained from observational constraints.

II. PURELY KINETIC *K*-ESSENCE

A general k-essence Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\phi, X) \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is the scalar field and X is defined as

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi \, \partial^\mu \phi \tag{2}$$

The Lagrangian can be any function of the scalar field and X. In this work we will consider a Lagrangian of the type

$$\mathcal{L} = F(X) - V(\phi) \tag{3}$$

Such a form has previously been studied in the context of k-essence models in [3], [21],[22], [23] and its properties have been discussed in some detail in [24]. Note though that another class of models of the type $\mathcal{L} = F(X)V(\phi)$ have also been widely used in the literature [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18].

For purely kinetic k-essence one has

$$\mathcal{L} = F(X) \tag{4}$$

The energy density in these models is given by

$$\rho = 2X F_X - F \tag{5}$$

where $F_X = dF/dX$, and the pressure p is simply given by Eq.(4). Therefore, the equation of state parameter $w \equiv p/\rho$ is

$$w = \frac{F}{2X F_X - F} \tag{6}$$

The adiabatic sound speed for such models , following the convention of Ref. [12], is defined to be

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{\partial p/\partial X}{\partial \rho/\partial X} = \frac{F_X}{2X F_{XX} + F_X} \tag{7}$$

where $F_{XX} = d^2F/dX^2$.

Throughout this paper we work in a flat Robertson-Walker metric. Now, the equation for the kinetic k-essence field is

$$[F_X + 2X F_{XX}]\,\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_X\,\dot{\phi} = 0 \tag{8}$$

which if rewritten in terms of X turns out to be

$$[F_X + 2X F_{XX}]\,\dot{X} + 6HF_X X = 0 \tag{9}$$

This can be integrated exactly [5], to give the solution

$$\sqrt{X}\,F_X = ka^{-3} \tag{10}$$

where k is a constant of integration. This solution was previously derived in a slightly different form in Ref. [18]. Given any form of $F(X)$ equation (10) gives the evolution of X as a function of a. This result holds irrespective of the spatial curvature of the universe.

Let us now see if the pure kinetic k-essence model could account for both dark matter and dark energy in a straightforward manner. As the most simple choice for the configuration of the late time energy density, let us express the k-essence energy density as

$$\rho = \lambda + \frac{C_1}{a^3} \tag{11}$$

where the energy density is the sum of a cosmological constant and matter-like term which we call dark matter. Needless to say, such an expression will hold as the true energy density of the universe after matter domination has begun, i.e., when radiation is a negligible fraction of the total energy density of the universe. Now using (10) we can rewrite the energy density in (11) in terms of X as

$$\rho = \lambda + \frac{C_1}{k}\sqrt{X}\,F_X \tag{12}$$

Equating (5)) (which gives the expression for the standard form of the energy density for a purely kinetic k-essence model) with (12) we get a differential equation for F given by

$$\frac{F_X}{\lambda + F} = \frac{1}{2X - \frac{C_1}{k}\sqrt{X}} \tag{13}$$

On integrating this equation one gets

$$F = -\lambda - C_2\left(C_1 - 2k\sqrt{X}\right) \tag{14}$$

with C_2 being an integration constant. Note here that since x and a are related by Eq.(10), the constancy of C_2 with respect to x implies constancy of C_2 with respect to a as well. Now, using the relation (10) once again to switch back to the variable a in the expression for F in Eq.(14), one obtains

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{a^3} \tag{15}$$

Thus, the only solution compatible with the ansatz (Eq.(11)) for the energy density is of a constant scale factor a. Such a solution is indeed consistent with the specific form for $F(X)$ in Eq.(14) (actually follows from it). However, since this solution is not compatible with an observationally expanding universe, it rules out our assumption of the energy density to be of the form expressed in Eq. (11). We must clarify here that we have assumed that the kinetic k-essence energy density to be exactly of the form of (11), whereas in [5], [20] the resultant energy density came out to be approximately of the form of (11) under certain assumptions. Therefore, using purely kinetic k-essence we cannot hope to unify dark matter & dark energy, at least exactly in the form of (11). Nonetheless, our analysis does not rule out other possible functional categorizations of the late time energy density through which dark matter and dark energy could possibly emerge. One could also look into other avenues to achieve the unification, and we try to provide such a way with our model in the next section.

III. THE MODEL

We choose our Lagrangian of the form

$$\mathcal{L} \; = \; F\,(X) \; - \; V\,(\phi) \tag{16}$$

As stated earlier such forms have previously appeared within the context of k-essence models in Refs. [3], [21], [22], [23] and [24]. Several functional forms of F have been used earlier in the literature. Here we work with a somewhat general form

$$F\,(X) \; = \; K\,X \; - \; m_{Pl}^2\, L\,\sqrt{X} \; + \; m_{Pl}^4\, M \tag{17}$$

where K, L and M are dimensionless positive constants, and keeping with the spirit of k-essence, the second term represents the non-canonical correction ($L^2 > 4KM$) to the kinetic energy. Our choice of the form of $F\,(X)$ is similar to the type considered in Ref. [18]. Additionally, we include a nonvanishing potential $V(\phi)$ given by

$$V\,(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \tag{18}$$

In order to make the subsequent analysis more transparent, especially while applying observational constraints on the parameters, let us rewrite the kinetic part of our Lagrangian in the form

$$F(X) \; = \; B\left(1 \; - \; 2\,A\,\sqrt{X}\right)^2 - \; C \tag{19}$$

where A, B, and C can be expressed in terms of our original model parameters as

$$A = m_{Pl}^{-2}\frac{K}{L};\; B = m_{Pl}^{4}\frac{L^2}{4K};\; C = m_{Pl}^{4}(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M) \tag{20}$$

The energy density corresponding to our model turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \; &= \; 2X\,F_X \; - \; F \; + \; V \\ &= \; B\,(4A^2X - 1) \; + \; C \; + \; \tfrac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and the pressure is given by

$$p \; = \; B\left(1 - 2A\sqrt{X}\right)^2 \; - \; C \; - \; \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \tag{22}$$

The Friedmann equation in this case can be written as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(4A^2BX - B + C + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2\right) \tag{23}$$

The equation of motion for the scalar field is obtained to be

$$[F_X \; + \; 2X\,F_{XX}]\,\ddot{\phi} \; + \; 3HF_X\,\dot{\phi} \; + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \; 0 \tag{24}$$

which in terms of the parameters can be written as

$$4A^2B\ddot{\phi} \; + \; 12HA^2B\,\dot{\phi} \; - \; 6\sqrt{2}HAB + \; m^2\phi \; = \; 0 \tag{25}$$

Considering the standard slow-roll approximation for inflation we initially take the potential to be much larger than the kinetic part , i.e. we have $V\,(\phi) >> 2XF_X - F$. Correspondingly the field equation (24) approximates to

$$3HF_X\,\dot{\phi} \; + \frac{dV}{d\phi} \simeq \; 0 \tag{26}$$

and we can write Eq.(23) as

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2\right) \tag{27}$$

The slow-roll parameters for this model are given by ($V' \; = \; dV/d\phi \;\; \& \; V'' \; = \; d^2V/d\phi^2$)

$$\epsilon \; = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2\frac{1}{F_X} \tag{28}$$

$$\eta \; = \; \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{V''}{V}\frac{1}{F_X^2} \tag{29}$$

It can be seen that the slow-roll parameters for this model are similar to the standard inflationary scenario, the only difference being the extra factors of F_X. To completely identify with the standard case we demand that $F_X \;\sim O\,(1)$. Now equating Eqs.(26) and (27) one obtains

$$\sqrt{X} \; \simeq \; \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}\,A^2\,B}\left(-\frac{m}{\sqrt{12\pi G}} \; + \; 2\sqrt{2}\,A\,B\right) \tag{30}$$

showing that for the duration of inflation X and hence F_X are practically constant. The number of e-folds of expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} N \;&=\; \int_{t_i}^{t_e} H\,dt \;=\; 8\pi G \int_{\phi_e}^{\phi_i} \frac{V}{V'}\,F_X\,d\phi \\ &\simeq\; 4\pi G\,F_X\,\frac{\phi_i^2 \;-\; \phi_e^2}{2} \\ &=\; \frac{4\pi G\,F_X}{m^2}\,\left(V_i \;-\; V_e\right) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where the subscript 'i' refers to beginning of inflation and 'e' refers to the end. Inflation ends with $\epsilon \;\sim\; 1$ leading to

$$\phi_e^2 \;\simeq\; \frac{1}{4\pi G\,F_X} \tag{32}$$

Using this in Eq.(31) we get

$$V_i \;\simeq\; \frac{m^2}{4\pi G\,F_X}\left(N \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{33}$$

So far the inflationary scenario in our model is almost indistinguishable from a standard scalar field inflation involving a chaotic quadratic potential. As inflation ends there will be kinetic domination since now the potential decays and becomes gradually negligible. So for the period of kinetic domination, Eq.(24) can be approximated as

$$\left[F_X \;+\; 2X\,F_{XX}\right]\ddot{\phi} \;+\; 3HF_X\,\dot{\phi} \;\simeq\; 0 \tag{34}$$

i.e., we effectively recover Eq.(8) for kinetic k-essence. So the formalism described in section II carries over. Hence, using Eq.(10) we get

$$X \;=\; \frac{1}{16\,A^4\,B^2}\left(2\,A\,B \;+\; \frac{k}{a^3}\right)^2 \tag{35}$$

Then using Eq.(21), and keeping in mind that V is now negligible, the energy density at this stage is given by

$$\rho \;=\; C \;+\; \frac{k}{A\,a^3} \;+\; \frac{k^2}{4A^2\,B\,a^6} \tag{36}$$

The subsequent evolution of the universe may be described as follows. During the initial period of kinetic domination the third term in Eq.(36) dominates. But that term becomes small quickly (compared to the radiation term $\sim a^{-4}$ that we have not written down explicitly here) and a period of radiation domination in the universe ensues. The second term in Eq.(36) gains prominence in the epoch of matter domination, and we identify it with dark matter. But as the universe evolves toward the present era the first term begins to dominate and behaves as a cosmological constant giving rise to the observed accelerated expansion of the universe. The equation of state parameter after the end of inflation is

$$w \;=\; \frac{\dfrac{k^2}{4A^2Ba^6} \;-\; C}{C \;+\; \dfrac{k}{A\,a^3} \;+\; \dfrac{k^2}{4A^2\,B\,a^6}} \tag{37}$$

We outline the values of w over the various epochs , which further supports the above statements :

$w \approx 1$	after the end of inflation and before radiation domination
$w \approx 0$	during matter domination
$w \rightarrow -1$	as $a \;\rightarrow\; \infty$

Using Eq.(7) the adiabatic sound speed turns out to be

$$c_s^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{\dfrac{2A\,B\,a^3}{k} \;+\; 1} \tag{38}$$

From Eq.(38) we see that the sound speed gradually becomes zero as the universe expands. In the next section we will show that it is negligible during the era of matter domination and beyond.

IV. OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS

We have so far seen that the model considered by us reproduces the primary features of early inflation and gives rise to a matter as well as a dark energy component in the later evolution of the universe. The viability of this model depends of course on the possible values of the parameters. Let us now use various observational features of the universe to constrain the parameters of our model. We first discuss the inflationary dynamics of the early universe.

The amplitude of density perturbations is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H \simeq \frac{H^2}{4\pi^{3/2}\dot{\phi}} &= 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}G^{3/2}\frac{V^{3/2}}{V'}F_X \\ &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}G^{3/2}mF_X\phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

According to the COBE normalization $\delta_H \;\sim\; 2\times 10^{-5}$. We assume that 60 e-folds of inflationary expansion takes place. From Eq.(33) this then gives

$$\phi_i^2\,F_X \;\simeq\; \frac{60.5}{2\pi G} \tag{40}$$

Hence using this value in Eq.(39) we get $m \;\sim\; 10^{13}\,GeV \;=\; 10^{-6}\,m_{Pl}$. Again using Eq.(40) we find the slow-roll parameters from Eqs.(28), and (29) to be

$$\epsilon\left(\phi_i\right) = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\frac{4}{\phi_i^2 F_X} = \frac{1}{2\left(N+1/2\right)} = 7.63\times 10^{-3} \tag{41}$$

$$\eta\left(\phi_i\right) = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{2}{\phi_i^2 F_X^2} = \frac{1}{2\left(N+1/2\right)F_X} \sim O\left(10^{-3}\right) \tag{42}$$

The tensor-to-scalar ratio turns out to be

$$r = 16\,\epsilon\left(\phi_i\right) = 0.12 \tag{43}$$

Similarly, the spectral index is obtained as

$$n_s \;=\; 1 \;-\; 6\epsilon\left(\phi_i\right) \;+\; 2\eta\left(\phi_i\right) \;\approx 0.95 \tag{44}$$

Furthermore from Eq.(33) we see that the initial value of the potential is

$$V_i \;\approx\; 10^{65}\,(GeV)^4 \;\ll\; m_{Pl}^4 \;=\; 10^{76}\,(GeV)^4 \tag{45}$$

showing that classical physics remains valid at the beginning of inflation.

All the above calculated parameters are of the same magnitude as one would get in a standard model of inflation based on a quadratic chaotic potential. Knowing the value of m we can also estimate the magnitude of the kinetic component during inflation, from Eq.(30) to be

$$X \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,\dot{\phi}^2 \;\approx\; 10^{62}\,(GeV)^4 \tag{46}$$

We could estimate the above value because we had assumed that $F_X \;\sim\; O\left(1\right)$. In view of Eq.(46) and also since $F_X \;=\; 4A^2B \;-\; \dfrac{2AB}{\sqrt{X}}$, this assumption leads to

$$K \;=\; 4A^2B \;\sim\; O\left(1\right) \tag{47}$$

where we have used Eq.(20) in the first equality. Now when inflation ends then using Eq.(32) and the value of m we see that

$$V_e \;=\; \frac{m^2}{8\pi G F_X} \;\approx\; 10^{62}\,GeV^4 \;\approx\; X \tag{48}$$

Thereafter the magnitude of the potential decreases and the kinetic component begins to dominate, and as we saw from Eq.(36) when there is full kinetic domination it will fall of as a^{-6}, quickly paving the way for a radiation dominated universe. After the end of inflation the field ϕ continues to roll down in the absence of any minimum in the potential. Thus reheating can take place only through gravitational particle production. Standard calculations [25], [26] give the density of particles produced at the end of inflation as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_R \;\sim\; 0.01\,g\,H_e^4 \;&=\; 0.01\,g\left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\,V_e\right)^2\\ &=\; 0.01\,g\left(\frac{m^2}{3F_X}\right)^2\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where g is the number of fields which produce particles at this stage, likely to be between 10 and 100. The relative densities turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho_R}{\rho_\phi} \;&=\; 0.01\,g\left(\frac{m^2}{3F_X}\right)^2\frac{8\pi G F_X}{m^2}\\ &=\; 7.71\times10^{-14}\,\frac{g}{F_X}\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The numerical value of the radiation density from Eq.(49) is

$$\rho_R \;\simeq\; 8.46\times10^{49}\,\frac{g}{F_X^2}\;(GeV)^4 \tag{51}$$

which if immediately thermalized would give rise to temperature

$$T_e \;\simeq\; \frac{3.03\times10^{12}}{F_X^{1/2}}\left(\frac{g}{g_*}\right)^{1/4}\;GeV \tag{52}$$

where g_* is the total number of species in the thermal bath and maybe somewhat higher than g. We assume that immediately after the end of inflation there is complete kinetic domination so that $\rho_\phi \;\propto\; 1/a^6$. Then we get

$$\frac{\rho_R}{\rho_\phi} \;\propto\; a^2 \tag{53}$$

Hence from Eq.(50) we see that the universe has to expand by a factor of about 10^6 to 10^7 after the end of inflation to become radiation dominated and at which stage the temperature which goes as $T \;\propto\; 1/a$ is given by

$$T \simeq \frac{3.03\times10^5}{F_X^{1/2}}\;GeV \tag{54}$$

So we see that radiation domination sets in comfortably before nucleosynthesis. But the above expression needs some correction to allow for the period between the end of inflation, when $\rho_\phi \;\propto\; 1/a^2$, and complete kinetic domination, i.e., when $\rho_\phi \;\propto\; 1/a^6$. Although this will reduce the temperature at the onset of radiation domination it will still be high enough for a successful nucleosynthesis, during which a temperature around 1 MeV is sufficient.

So far we have examined the dynamics of the inflationary era. We now try to impose constraints on the model from the matter dominated era and the present epoch. We have already shown in Eq.(36) what the late time energy density of the universe will be. Observations require that the current magnitude of a cosmological constant be about $10^{-12}\;\;(eV)^4$. So we must have

$$C \;\simeq\; 10^{-48}\;(GeV)^4 \tag{55}$$

Further, since the current dark matter density is about one-third that of dark energy, one has

$$\frac{C}{3} \;\simeq\; \frac{k}{Aa_0^3} \tag{56}$$

the subscript '0' signifying the present epoch. Observations tell us that the fraction of the present total energy density of the universe contained in radiation is $\left(\Omega_R\right)_0 \;\simeq\; 5\times10^{-5}$ and that contained in dark energy is $\left(\Omega_{DE}\right)_0 \;\simeq\; 0.73$. The present radiation density of the universe is thus

$(\rho_R)_0 = \frac{(\Omega_R)_0}{(\Omega_{DE})_0}\,C \simeq 6.94\times 10^{-53}\,(GeV)^4$. We denote the third term in (36) as ρ_k. It is known that nucleosynthesis occurs at a redshift of $z \sim 10^{10}$. We assume that ρ_R crosses over ρ_k at a redshift of $z \sim 10^{12}$. We then get

$$z^2 \simeq \frac{4A^2Ba_0^6}{k^2}\,(\rho_R)_0 = 4\,\frac{9}{C^2}\,B\,(\rho_R)_0 \qquad (57)$$

Thus one obtains a lower bound on the parameter B given by

$$B \geq 4\times 10^{-22}\,(GeV)^4 \qquad (58)$$

Now using Eq.(47) we obtain an upper bound on parameter A given by

$$A \leq 10^{10}\,(GeV)^{-2} \qquad (59)$$

Using the limiting values for the parameters it is found that the cross-over between the dark matter density and ρ_k occurs at a redshift of $z \sim 10^9$, and that between dark matter and radiation occurs at a redshift of $z \sim 10^4$, i.e., at the epoch of matter-radiation equality. We also find that the present value of ρ_k is

$$(\rho_k)_0 = \frac{k^2}{4A^2Ba_0^6} \simeq 6.94\times 10^{-77}\,(GeV)^4 \qquad (60)$$

and the adiabatic sound speed at the epoch of matter-radiation equality (at a redshift of about 10^4) is

$$\left(c_s^2\right)_{eq} = \frac{1}{\dfrac{2ABa_{eq}^3}{k} + 1} \simeq \frac{1}{\dfrac{6B}{C\,z_{eq}^3} + 1} \simeq 4.1\times 10^{-16} \qquad (61)$$

We can rexpress w from Eq.(37) in terms of the redshift z . Since ρ_k is negligible compared to the other components, we have

$$w \approx \frac{-\,C}{C + \dfrac{k}{Aa^3}} = \frac{-\,C}{C + \dfrac{k}{Aa_0^3}\,(z+1)^3} \qquad (62)$$

Therafter it is possible to find dw/dz . Its value at the current epoch, i.e., at redshift $z = 0$ using the above limiting values of A and B from Eqs.(58), (59) turns out to be

$$\left(\frac{dw}{dz}\right)_{z=0} \approx 2.733\times 10^{-28} \qquad (63)$$

On the other hand, observations suggest that inflation ended at a redshift of about $z \sim 10^{28}$. As we saw in the analysis on inflationary dynamics, radiation comes to dominate the kinetic energy density of the scalar field after the universe has expanded by about 10^6 to 10^7 after the end of inflation. Assuming that ρ_R crosses over ρ_k at a redshift of 10^{20}, and proceeding as before for obtaining Eq.(58), in this case we obtain an upper bound on the parameter B,

$$B \leq 4\times 10^{-6}\,(GeV)^4 \qquad (64)$$

and then a corresponding lower bound on the parameter A (using (47)) given by

$$A \geq 250\,(GeV)^{-2} \qquad (65)$$

Using these set of limiting values we find that the cross over between dark matter and ρ_k occurs at a redshift of about 10^{14}, whereas that between dark matter and radiation remains the same as in the earlier case. In this case $(\rho_k)_0$ and $\left(c_s^2\right)_{eq}$ are given by

$$(\rho_k)_0 \simeq 6.94\times 10^{-93} GeV^4 \qquad (66)$$

$$\left(c_s^2\right)_{eq} \simeq 4.1\times 10^{-32} \qquad (67)$$

If we use the limiting values of A and B from Eqs.(64) and (65) in the dw/dz relation obtained from Eq.(62), we get

$$\left(\frac{dw}{dz}\right)_{z=0} \approx 1.281\times 10^{-45} \qquad (68)$$

One can also estimate the current value of the equation of state parameter in our model, which using (37) turns out to be

$$w_0 \simeq \frac{-C}{C + \dfrac{k}{Aa_0^3}} \simeq \frac{-C}{C + C/3} \simeq -\,0.75 \qquad (69)$$

It should be noted here that the need to determine the value of k explicitly did not arise in our calculations. Its value can be determined from (56), provided we know the values of A and C, i.e., k is not an independent parameter in our model. We can further find out at what redshift the universe started to accelerate due to the presence of dark energy. Knowing that for acceleration to begin we must have $w = -1/3$, from (62) we find

$$z_{acc} \approx 0.817 \qquad (70)$$

Such a value for the redshift is in fact quite compatible with present observations [28]. Finally, using Eqs.(58), (59), (64) and (65) in Eq.(20), one finds that the parameter L of our model (17) is constrained to lie in the range

$$10^{-49} \leq L \leq 10^{-41} \qquad (71)$$

and M has to be tuned to satisfy the last relation in Eq.(20). We thus see that for a choice of the parameters $K \sim O(1)$ and L in the range given above it is possible to have a k-essence model that not only unifies dark matter and dark energy but also produces inflation in the early universe as well. Note that the requirement of tuning of one of the parameters, viz., M is to be expected, since this is merely a restatement of the fine-tuning problem associated with the cosmological constant. Further, it may be noted that the coincidence problem of the standard ΛCDM cosmology is retained at a similar level within the present framework. In addition to the tuning of the parameter M, as in the ΛCDM model we have used observations to fix the ratio of Ω_m and Ω_Λ effectively through our Eq.(56). Though dark matter and dark energy are generated within a unified framework in this model, the late time behaviour is quite akin to that of the standard ΛCDM model with its coincidence problem.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have considered a model of k-essence to study the possibility of producing inflation in the early universe, and susequently generating both dark matter and dark energy during later evolution in appropriate order. We have first shown that it is difficult to unify dark matter and dark energy using purely kinetic k-essence, since the ansatz of a late time energy density expressed simply as a sum of a cosmological constant and a matter term leads to a static universe. We have presented an alternative model including a potential for the scalar field that achieves this unification and also behaves effectively as purely kinetic k-essence at late times. Our model falls under the class of models dubbed k-essence which contain non-canonical kinetic terms. We have shown that our model generates inflation in the early universe that reproduces the basic features of the standard chaotic inflation model involving a quadratic potential. At the end of inflation when the potential in our model becomes negligible in comparison to the kinetic component we were able to approximate the model as purely kinetic k-essence. The expression for the energy density in terms of the scale factor a and also for that of adiabatic sound speed were obtained. We found that the resultant energy density contained terms that achieved the unification of dark matter and dark energy. The adiabatic sound speed came out to be close to zero when calculated at the epoch of matter-radiation equality, thus posing no problems for structure formation, since the sound speed decreases further as the scale factor increases. Current observations quite strongly favour a cosmological constant as the source of dark energy. Our model reproduces a cosmological constant at late times. The value of the current equation of state parameter, and the red-shift at which the transition to the accelerated phase occurs, that we estimated, lies within observational bounds.

We considered a general form for the k-essence Lagrangian containing a non-canonical kinetic term. We then used observational constraints ranging from the inflationary era to the subsequent matter and radiation dominated eras and the present accelerated phase as well to impose a set of bounds on the model parameters. In this way we could provide an estimate of the relative strengths of the various terms of our model Lagrangian. It should be pointed out that the form of the potential chosen for the model, though widely used for its simplicity, is not very realistic and only serves to highlight the features of the model during the inflationary era. Recent WMAP data analysis [27] suggest that the best fit potential for inflation is a trinomial potential and further study of our model could be made by using such a potential. Moreover, it would be interesting to investigate the relation of our model to the dynamics of another widely used class of k-essence models where the Lagrangian is taken to be of the type $\mathcal{L} = F(X)V(\phi)$. Finally, since the consideration of non-canonical scalar field kinetics in cosmology was originally motivated by the Born-Infeld [10] action of string theory, and there have been many more recent string theoretic inputs in cosmology such as the idea of the landscape [29], it should be worthwhile to the explore the possible origin of generalized non-canonical actions such as ours in the low energy limit of specific string theoretic models.

Acknowledgements

A.S.M. would like to acknowledge support from a project funded by DST, India.

[1] E. Komatsu *et al.*, [arXiv:astro-ph/0803.0547].

[2] V. Sahni, Lect. Notes Phys. **653**, 141 (2004).

[3] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006).

[4] T. Padmanabhan and T. R. Choudhury, Phys. Rev. D**66**, 081301(R) (2002).

[5] R. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 011301 (2004).

[6] A. Arbey, Phys. Rev. D**74**, 043516 (2006).

[7] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D**59**, 063505 (1999); A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D**64**, 083503 (2001); N. J. Nunes and E. J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D**66**, 043524 (2002); K. Dimopoulos, Phys. Rev. D**68**, 123506 (2003); M. Sami and V. Sahni, Phys. Rev. D**70**, 083513 (2004); A. Gonzales, T. Matos and I. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D**71**, 084029 (2005).

[8] J. E. Lidsey, T. Matos, and L. A. Urena-Lopez, Phys. Rev. D**66**, 023514 (2002).

[9] A. R. Liddle and L. A. Ureña-Lopez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 161301 (2006).

[10] A. Sen, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**17**, 1797 (2002); N. D. Lambert and I. Sachs, Phys. Rev. D**67**, 026005 (2003).

[11] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour, and V. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999).

[12] J. Garriga and V.F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 219 (1999).

[13] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **62**,

023511 (2000).
[14] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4438 (2000).
[15] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103510 (2001).
[16] T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 063514 (2002).
[17] L.P. Chimento and A. Feinstein, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19** 761 (2004).
[18] L.P. Chimento, Phys.Rev. D **69**, 123517 (2004).
[19] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003).
[20] L. P. Chimento, M. Forte and R. Lazkoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2075 (2005).
[21] V.Mukhanov and A.Vikman, JCAP 0602004 (2006).
[22] A.Vikman, [arxiv:astro-ph/0606033].
[23] E. Babichev, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 085004 (2006).
[24] W. Fang, H. Q. Lu and Z.G.Huang , Class.Quantum Grav. **24**, 3799 (2007).
[25] L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2955 (1987).
[26] B. Spokoiny, Phys. Lett. B **315**, 40 (1993).
[27] C. Destri, H. J. de Vega and N.G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 043509 (2008).
[28] A. Melchiorri, L. Pagano, S Pandolfi, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 041301(R) (2007).
[29] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003).